(a) whether Government is aware that due to Dubai Economic Crisis there is apprehension that the large number of Indian workers working there would become unemployed;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government is immediately going to make arrangement for providing employment in the country to Indian workers becoming unemployed in Dubai; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF OVERSEAS INDIAN AFFAIRS (SHRI VAYALAR RAVI): (a) Due to the reported Dubai economic crisis, there is no apprehension of any significant sudden job loss for the Indian workers employed there.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

**Cases of public grievances**

3150. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:
SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:
Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the total number of cases of public grievances received in the Prime Minister's Office, Cabinet Secretariat and Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances is 109620, 101995, 124052 and 52933 during the year 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 upto 30th June, 2009 respectively;

(b) whether out of the above, nearly 30 per cent, 28489, 29591, 37879 and 18267 respectively were forwarded during these years to various Ministries and Departments for appropriate action;

(c) the disposal of above actionable complaints and officials found legally or departmentally culpable; and

(d) the number of grievances of the citizens that were redressed within a period of two months?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE (SHRI PRITHVIRAJ CHAVAN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d) The concerned Ministry/Department disposes the complaints in a decentralized manner. Data on departmental action taken by different Ministries/Departments against officials and also the number of grievances that were redressed within a period of two months is not maintained centrally.

**Coverage of CJI under RTI Act**

3151. DR. K. MALAISAMY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether a High Court has ruled that office of the Chief Justice of India (CJI) falls within the purview of RTI Act, while the CJI contends outside the court that it does not fall within the purview of the Judiciary; and